UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

Periodic Reporting   )   Docket No. RM2003-3

ERRATUM TO
OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE
ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO POSTAL SERVICE
MOTION FOR FURTHER DELAY
(June 13, 2003)

As originally filed, the date on the above-referenced pleading was incorrect. A

corrected first page is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Emmett Rand Costich
Attorney

Shelley S. Dreifuss
Director
Office of the Consumer Advocate

1333 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20268-0001
(202) 789-6830; Fax (202) 789-6819

Periodic Reporting   )   Docket No. RM2003-3

OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE
ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO POSTAL SERVICE
MOTION FOR FURTHER DELAY
(June 13, 2003)

The Office of the Consumer Advocate ("OCA") hereby opposes the June 6

Motion of United States Postal Service for Further Extension of Time to File Comments.

As of the date of filing of the instant pleading, the Postal Service has successfully

retarded progress in this docket for five and one-half months. Enough is enough. The

Chinese motion torture must cease.

On January 8, 2003, the Commission issued Order No. 1358, in which it

proposes to amend its periodic reporting rules in 39 C.F.R. §3001.102 ("Rule 102").

The proposed amendments are intended to update Rule 102 (filing of reports) to

capture changes in the Postal Service's standard data reports, to collect more complete

data, to make the filed data easier to use, and to obtain the information in an electronic

format. New reporting requirements would include the filing of the Postal Service's

updated workpapers documenting the CRA data to show the Postal Service's current

distribution of attributable mail processing costs using MODS cost pools, together with

comprehensive spreadsheet workpapers calculating attributable costs by cost

component. The documentation is the equivalent of the "B" workpapers provided by the